



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

gather an incorrect opinion from the text. (See *Wing v. Anrave*, 8 H. L. Cas., 183; 8 *Law Quarterly Review*, 266.)

Again on page 167 the question as to the legal test of insanity is not satisfactorily treated. The rule laid down in the leading cases of *State v. Pike*, 49 N. H., 399; 50 *Id.*, 369; and *Parsons v. The State*, 81 Ala., 587, should have at least been referred to. The recent edition of Taylor's "Medical Jurisprudence," by Clark Bell, p. 729, contains a full citation of annotations upon this important question, and is a much safer guide upon legal questions than the work we are considering.

Notwithstanding these blemishes, however, the work will be found convenient in many respects, provided the student does not rely upon it as a guide to the solution of merely legal questions.

We notice some evidences of careless proof-reading—*e. g.*, on page 33, where "verdict" is spelled "virdict;" but the book as a whole is well printed.

MARSHALL D. EWELL, M.D.,  
*The Kent Law School, Chicago, Ill.*

---

A MANUAL OF TRADE-MARK CASES, COMPRISING SEBASTIAN'S DIGEST OF TRADE-MARK CASES, WITH NOTES AND REFERENCES. BY ROWLAND COX. Second Edition, revised and enlarged. HOUGHTON, MIFFLIN AND COMPANY, The Riverside Press, Cambridge, 1892.

The fact that a second edition of Mr. COX's adaptation of SEBASTIAN'S Digest of Trade-mark Cases to the needs of the American Bar is appreciated by the profession is shown by the call for this second edition. Mr. SEBASTIAN'S object in his English work was, as stated by himself, "to present a concise statement of facts and decisions in all cases connected with the law of trade-marks and kindred topics, as ascertained by a careful comparison of all the various reports in which each case appears." In pursuance of this purpose the author gave a short, clear statement of each case, and well-selected quotations from that portion of the

Opinion of the Court which dealt with the reason for the decision. There were 655 cases reported by the English edition; Mr. COX in his first edition of 1880 added twenty cases to Mr. SEBASTIAN'S work, and while wisely using his excellent index, added to the text cross references and occasional notes. In his present edition Mr. COX has added fifty-six new cases and continued his system of notes and cross references, besides adding several excellent *fac similes* which illustrate the question of infringement.

We are sorry to see, however, that he has departed from the plan of the English author in two respects. In the first place, Mr. COX has given a report of a case rather than a complete digest. This forces him to omit many cases, and the lawyer no longer feels that he can turn to the volume and obtain, in a short time, the elements of all the trade-mark cases. This was the object of the English author. He did not intend to show the development of the law. Had he done so, the chronological order which he adopted and which Mr. COX has followed would have been modified so as to illustrate the development of each branch of the subject separately. He would also have made a much fuller report of each case. The work was not intended to supply a student of the subject with all the material, but rather to show him what cases he should read in full. We must all thank Mr. COX, however, for what he has done, even though we may regret that the original plan was not followed more closely. He has greatly increased the usefulness of Mr. SEBASTIAN'S work to the American practitioner, and we feel sure that time will bring with it a necessity for a third edition.

We presume that Mr. COX has the English author's permission to call his work "Manual of Trade Mark Cases," Cox, instead of Sebastian, American edition. Would it not have been better to have kept in the most prominent place on the back of the cover the author of nineteen-twentieth's of the work?